arXiv:math/0604370v1 [math.QA] 17 Apr 2006

BOSONIC FORMULAS FOR AFFINE BRANCHING FUNCTIONS

E.FEIGIN

Abstract. In this paper we derive two bosonic (alternating sign) formulas for branching functions for general affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Both formulas are given in terms of Weyl group and string functions of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Introduction

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra, $\mathfrak{g}_{fin}$ be the corresponding simple finite-dimensional algebra,

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{fin} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$

where K is a central element and $[d, x \otimes t^i] = -ix \otimes t^i$ for $x \in \mathfrak{g}$. We fix the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$, with

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{fin}$ is the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}_{fin}$. We also denote

$$\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K, \quad \mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{C}K.$$

Let $P_k^+ \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the set of all dominant integrable level k weights of $\mathfrak{g}$. We denote by $P_k^{'+} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}'^*$ the image of P_k^+ with respect to the restriction map $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}'^*$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$. For $\lambda \in P_k^+$ we denote by L_λ the corresponding irreducible highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$ module and by $L_{\lambda'}$ a $\mathfrak{g}'$ module which coincides with L_λ as a vector space and the action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is a restriction of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$.

For $\lambda_1 \in P_{k_1}^{'+}, \lambda_2 \in P_{k_2}^{'+}$ consider a decomposition of the tensor product of $\mathfrak{g}'$-modules

$$L_{\lambda_1'} \otimes L_{\lambda_2'} = \bigoplus_{\mu' \in P_{k_1+k_2}^{'+}} L_{\mu'} \otimes C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}. \tag{1}$$

Note that $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}$ can be considered as a space of highest weight vectors of $\mathfrak{h}'$-weight μ' in $L_{\lambda_1'} \otimes L_{\lambda_2'}$. To define a character of $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}$ we assume $\lambda_1(d) = \lambda_2(d) = \mu(d) = 0$ (note that for any $\lambda' \in P_k^{'+}$ there exists $\bar\lambda \in P_k^+$

such that $\bar\lambda(d)=0$ and $\bar\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}'}=\lambda'$). Then we obtain a grading by the operator d on $L_{\lambda_1'}\otimes L_{\lambda_2'}$. Set

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}(q)=\mathrm{ch}_q C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}=\mathrm{Tr}\ q^d|_{C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}}.$$

These functions are called $\mathfrak{g}$ branching functions. We note that in the conformal field theory branching functions appear as characters of spaces of states of coset theories. These characters differs from $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}(q)$ by an extra factor $q^{\triangle_{\lambda_1'}+\triangle_{\lambda_2'}-\triangle_{\mu'}}$, where $\triangle_{\lambda'}$ is a conformal weight of λ' (see [DMS]).

There exist different approaches to the study of $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}(q)$ (see for example [BNY, KMQ, R, DJKMO, S1, S2, SS, F, FOW]). These approaches give different types formulas for some particular cases of branching functions. In our paper we use homological technique to derive two bosonic formulas for $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}(q)$ for general affine Kac-Moody algebras (note that similar approach is utilized in [FFJMT, FF, F]). Let us briefly describe our results. Recall the Garland-Lepowsky theorem:

$$H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu)\simeq\bigoplus_{\substack{w\in W\\ l(w)=p}}\mathbb{C}_{w*\mu}, \tag{2}$$

where W is a Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, $w*\mu$ is a shifted action of W and $l(w)$ is the length of w. Note that (2) is an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules and $\mathbb{C}_{w*\mu}$ is one-dimensional $\mathfrak{h}$-module of the weight $w*\mu$. From (2) we obtain that for $\mu,\nu\in P_k^+$ homology $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu)^\nu$ (superscript denotes the corresponding $\mathfrak{h}$-weight subspace) vanishes if $p>0$ or $\mu\neq\nu$. In addition

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu)^\mu\simeq\mathbb{C}_\mu.$$

Therefore from (1) we obtain

$$H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'}\simeq C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}\delta_{0,p}$$

and so

$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\mathrm{ch}_q H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'}=c^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}(q). \tag{3}$$

We now compute the same Euler characteristics using the BGG-resolution of L_{λ_1}:

$$\ldots\to F_p\to\ldots\to F_0\to L_{\lambda_1}\to 0, \tag{4}$$

where $F_p=\bigoplus_{l(w)=p}M_{w*\lambda_1}$ and $M_{w*\lambda_1}$ is the corresponding Verma module. Tensoring the BGG-resolution by L_{λ_2} we obtain the $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$-free resolution of $L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2}$:

$$\ldots\to F_p\otimes L_{\lambda_2}\to\ldots\to F_0\otimes L_{\lambda_2}\to L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2}\to 0. \tag{5}$$

Then the homology $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'}$ can be counted as homology of a complex

$$\ldots\to\left[\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(F_p\otimes L_{\lambda_2})\right]^{\mu'}\to\ldots\to\left[\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(F_0\otimes L_{\lambda_2})\right]^{\mu'}\to 0. \tag{6}$$

Therefore the Euler characteristics (3) is given by the formula

$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\sum_{l(w)=p} q^{(w*\lambda_1)d}\mathrm{ch}_q(L_{\lambda_2})^{(\mu-w*\lambda_1)'}. \tag{7}$$

We thus obtain our first bosonic formula for $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)$.

To get the second formula we replace the "product" $L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2}$ by the "fraction" $L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}$ and consider the homology $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})$, $\lambda_1\in P^+_{k_1}$, $\mu\in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$. We prove that

$$H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}=0 \text{ for } p>0$$

and

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}\simeq (C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2})^*.$$

We thus obtain that

$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\mathrm{ch}_q H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}=c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q^{-1}).$$

Using the BGG-resolution of L_μ we again rewrite this Euler characteristics in terms of the characters of spaces $(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(M_{w*\mu}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}))^{\lambda'_2}$. This gives the following formula:

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)=\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p\sum_{l(w)=p} q^{-(w*\mu)(d)}\mathrm{ch}_q(L_{\lambda_1})^{(w*\mu-\lambda_2)'} \tag{8}$$

(recall that we assume $\lambda_1(d)=\lambda_2(d)=\mu(d)=0$). The specialization of this formula to the simplest case $\mathfrak{g}=\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ gives the formula from [BNY, KMQ, R] in the form of [F]. We note that (8) looks like (7), but the proof is much more complicated.

Our paper is organized as follows.

In Section 1 we fix affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras notations.

In Section 2 we derive our first formula for branching functions $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)$ using the homology $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'}$.

In Section 3 we derive our second formula for $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)$ using the homology of the "fraction" $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}$.

In Section 4 we specialize formulas from Sections 2 and 3 to the simplest case $\mathfrak{g}=\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$.

Acknowledgements. This work was partially supported by RFBR Grant 06-01-00037 and LSS 4401.2006.2.

1. AFFINE KAC-MOODY LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section we fix our notations on the affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras. The main references are [Kac, Kum].

Let $\mathfrak{g}_{fin}$ be a simple finite-dimensional Lie algebra with the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g}_{fin} = \mathfrak{n}_{fin} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus (\mathfrak{n}_-)_{fin}$.

Consider the corresponding affine algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{fin} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$

where K is a central element and $[d, x \otimes t^i] = -ix \otimes t^i$.

We fix the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n} \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_-$, where

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_{fin} \otimes 1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{fin} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t],$$
$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$
$$\mathfrak{n}_- = (\mathfrak{n}_-)_{fin} \otimes 1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{fin} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$$

and denote $\mathfrak{g}' = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}_{fin} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K$, $\mathfrak{h}' = \mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$.

Let $\alpha_i^\vee \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha_i \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, be simple coroots and roots. Note that $\alpha_i^\vee$ form a basis of $\mathfrak{h}_{fin} \oplus \mathbb{C}K$. We denote by $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$ the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ Lie algebra spanned by $e_i, \alpha_i^\vee, f_i$, where $e_i, f_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ are the Chevalley generators, $e_i \in \mathfrak{n}, f_i \in \mathfrak{n}_-$. We note that

$$\mathfrak{n} = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \triangle_+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha, \ \mathfrak{n}_- = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \triangle_-} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha,$$

where $\triangle_+$ and $\triangle_-$ are the sets of positive and negative roots and $\mathfrak{g}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : [h, x] = \alpha(h)x \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$. Spaces $\mathfrak{g}_{\alpha_i}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$ are spanned by e_i and f_i. Let

$$\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\alpha \in \triangle_- \\ \alpha \neq -\alpha_i}} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha. \tag{9}$$

Note that

$$\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i} \simeq \mathfrak{n}_- / \mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}.$$

Let P_k^+ be the set of level k integrable dominant $\mathfrak{g}$-weights, i.e.

$$P_k^+ = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* : \lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \ \lambda(K) = k\}.$$

We also denote by $P_k^{'+} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{h}'^*$ the image of P_k^+ with respect to the projection $\mathfrak{h}^* \to \mathfrak{h}'^*$, $\lambda \mapsto \lambda'$. For $\lambda \in P_k^+$ let L_λ be an integrable highest weight $\mathfrak{g}$-module with highest weight vector $v_\lambda \in L_\lambda$ such that

$$\mathfrak{n} v_\lambda = 0, \ \mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-) v_\lambda = L_\lambda, \ h(v_\lambda) = \lambda(h) v_\lambda, h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Let $L_{\lambda'}$ be $\mathfrak{g}'$ module which coincides with L_λ as a vector space and the action of $\mathfrak{g}'$ is a restriction of the action of $\mathfrak{g}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{h}'^*$ set

$$(L_\lambda)^\alpha = \{v \in L_\lambda : \ hv = \alpha(h)v \ \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}'\}.$$

Note that L_λ is graded by an operator d. We set

$$\mathrm{ch}_q (L_\lambda)^\alpha = \mathrm{Tr}\, q^d|_{(L_\lambda)^\alpha}.$$

Fix $\lambda'_1 \in P'^{+}_{k_1}$, $\lambda'_2 \in P'^{+}_{k_2}$, and consider the decomposition of the tensor product of $\mathfrak{g}'$-modules:

$$L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\lambda'_2} = \bigoplus_{\mu' \in P'^{+}_{k_1+k_2}} C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2} \otimes L_{\mu'}. \tag{10}$$

The space $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$ can be identified with a subspace of highest weight vectors of $\mathfrak{h}'$-weight μ' in $L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\lambda'_2}$. To define a character of $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$ one needs to fix an action of the operator d on each $\mathfrak{g}'$ module $L_{\lambda'}$. Note that if $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = \bar{\lambda}|_{\mathfrak{h}'}$ then $L_{\lambda'} \simeq L_{\bar{\lambda}'}$. Therefore an action of d on $L_{\lambda'}$ depends on the choice of $\lambda(d)$. It is convenient for us to choose a normalization $\lambda_1(d) = \lambda_2(d) = \mu(d) = 0$. This defines the characters of $L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\lambda'_2}$ and of $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$. The character $\mathrm{ch}_q C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$ is called $\mathfrak{g}$ branching function and is denoted by $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)$:

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q) = \mathrm{Tr}\, q^d|_{C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}}.$$

Recall that spaces $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$ appear in the conformal field theory as spaces of states of coset theories (see [DMS]). Namely the Sugawara construction defines an action of the Virasoro algebra with generators L_n on each $L_{\lambda'}$. In particular for the operator L_0 one has

$$L_0 v_\lambda = \triangle_{\lambda'} v_\lambda,\ [L_0, x \otimes t^i] = -ix \otimes t^i$$

($\triangle_{\lambda'}$ is a conformal weight). Now the GKO construction (see [GKO]) defines an action of Vir on the tensor product $L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\lambda'_2}$ which commutes with the diagonal action of $\mathfrak{g}'$. Namely one puts

$$L^{GKO}_n = L^{(1)}_n \otimes \mathrm{Id} + \mathrm{Id} \otimes L^{(2)}_n - L^{diag}_n,$$

where $L^{(1)}_n$, $L^{(2)}_n$ and L^{diag}_n are Sugawara operators acting on $L_{\lambda'_1}$, $L_{\lambda'_2}$ and $L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\lambda'_2}$ respectively. Therefore, we obtain a structure of Vir-module on $C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}$ and an equality

$$\mathrm{Tr}\, q^{L_0}|_{C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}} = c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q) q^{\triangle_{\lambda'_1} + \triangle_{\lambda'_2} - \triangle_{\mu'}},$$

where the left hand side is a character of the space of states of the corresponding coset model.

In the end of this section we recall the Weyl group notations, the Garland-Lepowsky $\mathfrak{n}_-$-homology theorem and the BGG resolution. Let W be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$, generated by simple reflections s_i. We denote by $l(w)$ the length of an element $w \in W$. Recall that the shifted action of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is given by $w * \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, where $\rho(\alpha^\vee_i) = 1$. We will need the following lemma:

Lemma 1.1. *a) If $\lambda \in P^+_k$ and $w * \lambda \in P^+_k$ then $w = e$.*
b) If $(w * \lambda)\alpha^\vee_i \le -1$ for some $\lambda \in P^+_k$ then $l(s_i w) < l(w)$.

Proof. To prove $a)$ we rewrite an equality $w * \lambda = \lambda_1$ as $w(\lambda+\rho) = \lambda_1 + \rho$. But if $\lambda, \lambda_1 \in P_k^+$ then

$$(\lambda+\rho)\alpha_i^\vee > 0, \quad (\lambda_1+\rho)\alpha_i^\vee > 0 \quad \forall i.$$

Therefore both $\lambda+\rho$ and $\lambda_1+\rho$ are the elements of the Weyl dominant chamber and so $\lambda = \lambda_1$. But from $w * \lambda = \lambda$ one gets $w = e$ (see Lemma 3.2.5 from [Kum]).

We now prove $b)$. Note that $(w*\lambda)\alpha_i^\vee \le -1$ is equivalent to

$$(\lambda+\rho)(w^{-1}\alpha_i^\vee) \le 0.$$

This gives $w^{-1}\alpha_i^\vee = \sum_{i=1}^n c_j\alpha_j^\vee$ with $c_j \le 0$. Therefore $l(w^{-1}s_i) < l(w)$. Lemma is proved. □

The following theorem is proved in [GL]:

Theorem. *For any $\lambda \in P_k^+$ we have an isomorphism of $\mathfrak{h}$-modules:*

$$H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\lambda) \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{w\in W\\ l(w)=p}} \mathbb{C}_{w*\lambda},$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{w*\lambda}$ is one-dimensional $\mathfrak{h}$-module of the weight $w*\lambda$.

We will also need the BGG resolution of integrable irreducible representations L_λ (see [BGG, Kum]). Namely there exists an exact sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$-modules and $\mathfrak{g}$-homomorphism

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{w\in W\\ l(w)=p}} M_{w*\lambda} \to \ldots \to M_\lambda \to L_\lambda \to 0, \tag{11}$$

where M_μ is the weight μ Verma module.

2. First homological bosonic formula

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\lambda_1 \in P_{k_1}^+$, $\lambda_2 \in P_{k_2}^+$ and $\mu \in P_{k_1+k_2}^+$ we have:*

$$C_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'}^{\mu'} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'},$$
$$H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'} = 0 \text{ for all } p > 0.$$

Proof. Recall that for any $\lambda \in P_k^+$ and $e \ne w \in W$ one has $w*\lambda \notin P_k^+$ and so $(w*\lambda)' \notin P_k'^+$. Therefore, from Garland-Lepowsky theorem we obtain that $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\lambda)^\mu = 0$ unless $p = 0$ and $\lambda = \mu$. Now our lemma follows from the decomposition (10) and an equality

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'} \simeq \bigoplus_{\substack{\bar\mu\in P_k^+\\ \bar\mu|_{\mathfrak{h}'}=\mu'}} H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\bar\mu}.$$

□

Corollary 2.1. *For any* $\lambda_1 \in P^+_{k_1}$, $\lambda_2 \in P^+_{k_2}$ *and* $\mu \in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$ *one has*

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q) = \sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_q H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'}. \tag{12}$$

We now compute the Euler characteristics (12) using the BGG-resolution of L_{λ_1}. Tensoring (11) by L_{λ_2} we obtain the $\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)$-free resolution of $L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2}$. Therefore the following complex counts $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})$:

$$\ldots \to \mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(L_{\lambda_2}\otimes F_1) \to \mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(L_{\lambda_2}\otimes F_0) \to 0, \tag{13}$$

where $F_p = \bigoplus_{l(w)=p} M_{w*\lambda_1}$. We can rewrite (13) as

$$\ldots \to (\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)} F_1)\otimes L_{\lambda_2} \to (\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)} F_0)\otimes L_{\lambda_2} \to 0. \tag{14}$$

Lemma 2.2.

$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \mathrm{ch}_q H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2})^{\mu'} =$$
$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \sum_{l(w)=p} q^{(w*\lambda_1)^d}\mathrm{ch}_q(L_{\lambda_2})^{(\mu-w*\lambda_1)'}.$$

Proof. Recall that $F_p = \bigoplus_{l(w)=p} M_{w*\lambda_1}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)} F_p = \bigoplus_{l(w)=p} \mathbb{C}_{w*\lambda_1}.$$

Now our lemma follows from the equality of Euler characteristics of the complex (14) and the right hand side of (12). □

Proposition 2.1. *We have a bosonic formula for the branching functions:*

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q) = \sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \sum_{\substack{w\in W\\ l(w)=p}} q^{(w*\lambda_1)^d}\mathrm{ch}_q(L_{\lambda_2})^{(\mu-w*\lambda_1)'} \tag{15}$$

Proof. Follows from Corollary 2.1 and Lemma 2.2. □

Remark 2.1. *We can use the BGG resolution of* L_{λ_2} *instead of* L_{λ_1}*. This interchanges* λ_1 *and* λ_2 *in the right hand side of* (15) *and leads to another formula for branching functions* $c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q)$.

3. Second homological bosonic formula

In this section we study homology $H_p(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})$ replacing the tensor product $L_{\lambda_1}\otimes L_{\lambda_2}$ from the previous section by the "fraction" $L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}$. We note that though $L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}$ does not belong to the category $\mathcal{O}$ (the eigenvalues of the operator d are not bounded from below) it is still integrable. So we first prove some statements about integrable representations.

Recall the definition (9) of the subalgebra $\mathfrak{u}^{(i)}_-$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let M be an integrable $\mathfrak{g}$ module. Then*

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M) \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_n(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)) \oplus H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)).$$

Proof. We consider the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence associated with a pair $\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)} \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{n}_-$. Note that $\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}$ is an ideal and $\mathfrak{n}_-/\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)} \simeq \mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}$. The second term of this spectral sequence is given by

$$E^2_{p,q} = H_p(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)).$$

We prove our lemma by showing that $E^2_{p,q} = E^\infty_{p,q}$.

Because of the integrability condition M is a direct sum of irreducible finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$ modules. Therefore the same is true for $\Lambda^q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}) \otimes M$ and also for $H_q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)$. For any nonnegative integer s we denote by π_s an irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ module with highest weight s ($\dim \pi_s = s+1$) and fix highest and lowest weight vectors v_s and u_s. Let $\pi_s \hookrightarrow H_q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)$ be a direct summand and

$$\alpha_p \in \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}) \otimes \pi_s$$

be a chain representing some class in $H_p(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \pi_s) \hookrightarrow H_p(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))$. We set

$$\alpha_0 = v_s, \quad \alpha_1 = f_i \otimes u_s.$$

Let $\beta_p \in \Lambda^p(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}) \otimes \Lambda^q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}) \otimes M$ be the chains of the form

$$\beta_0 = x_0, \quad \beta_1 = f_i \otimes x_1$$

which represent α_p (i.e. x_0 represents v_s and x_1 represents u_s). Now let $d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}$ ($d_{\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}}$) be the differential in the standard complex for $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)$ ($H_n(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)$). We state that $d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}\beta_p = 0$. In fact, for $p = 0$ this just follows from $v_s \in H_q(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)$. Now let $p = 1$. Then

$$d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}\beta_1 = d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}(f_i \otimes x_1).$$

We know that $d_{\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}} x_1 = 0$ and $f_i x_1 = 0$ (because x_1 represents the lowest weight vector). This gives $d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}\beta_1 = 0$. But because of $d_{\mathfrak{n}_-}\beta = 0$ we obtain that differentials $d_2, d_3, \dots$ in the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence are trivial and $E^2_{p,q} = E^\infty_{p,q}$. Lemma is proved. □

Corollary 3.1. *Let M be an integrable level k $\mathfrak{g}$ module. Then*

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^\lambda = 0 \textit{ unless } \lambda \in P_k^+.$$

Proof. Because of Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, M) \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_0(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$. We recall that $H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \pi_s)$ is one-dimensional space of the $\alpha_i^\vee$-weight s. Therefore, because $H_0(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M)$ is a direct sum of finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}_2^{(i)}$ modules, we obtain $\lambda(\alpha_i^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ for any weight λ of $H_0(\mathfrak{n}, M)$. This gives $\lambda \in P_k^+$. □

Proposition 3.1. *Let M be an integrable level k $\mathfrak{g}$ module. Then*
a) $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w*\lambda} = 0$ *for* $w \in W$, $\lambda \in P_k^+$ *if* $l(w) > n$.
b) $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_{n-l(w)}(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{\lambda}$ *for any* $w \in W$, $\alpha \in P_k^+$ *such that* $l(w) \le n$.

Proof. We prove *a*) and *b*) simultaneously using

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{\mu} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_n(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{\mu} \oplus H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{\mu}$$

and the induction on n. The case $n = 0$ follows from Corollary 3.1. Suppose our lemma is proved for $m < n$. We assume $l(w) > 0$ (otherwise *a*) and *b*) are trivial). Then there exists i such that

$$(w * \lambda)\alpha_i^{\vee} \le -1. \tag{16}$$

We have

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_n(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{w*\lambda} \oplus H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{w*\lambda}.$$

Because of the condition (16) we have

$$H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_n(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{w*\lambda} = 0$$

and therefore

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}, M)^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{(s_i w)*\lambda}, \tag{17}$$

because

$$H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \pi_s)^{\alpha} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \pi_s)^{s_i*\alpha}$$

for any α. We also know that

$$H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{(s_i w)*\lambda} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{(s_i w)*\lambda} \oplus H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-2}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{(s_i w)*\lambda}$$

and because of $((s_i w) * \lambda)\alpha_i^{\vee} = -(w * \lambda)\alpha_i^{\vee} - 2 \ge -1$ (see (16)) we obtain

$$H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-2}(\mathfrak{u}, M))^{(s_i w)*\lambda} = 0$$

(because $H_1(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, \pi_s)^t = 0$ for any $t \ge -1$). Therefore

$$H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{(s_i w)*\lambda} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}_{-\alpha_i}, H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{u}_-^{(i)}, M))^{(s_i w)*\lambda}. \tag{18}$$

From (17) and (18) we obtain

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_{n-1}(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{(s_i w)*\lambda}. \tag{19}$$

Note that because of (16) and Lemma 1.1 $l(s_i w) = l(w) - 1$.

Now suppose that $n < l(w)$. Then iterating (19) we obtain

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w*\lambda} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^{w'*\lambda}$$

for some w' with $l(w') > 0$. But this homology vanishes because of Corollary 3.1. This gives *a*). To obtain *b*) one needs to iterate (19). Proposition is proved. □

Let $\omega : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ be the Chevalley involution defined by $e_i \to -f_i$, $f_i \to -e_i$, $h \to -h$ ($h \in \mathfrak{h}$). For $\mathfrak{g}$ module V we denote by V^ω a $\mathfrak{g}$ module which coincides with V as a vector space and the action of $\mathfrak{g}$ is twisted by ω.

Lemma 3.2. *Let M be some $\mathfrak{g}$ module, $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Then*

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^\lambda \simeq H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, M \otimes M_\lambda^\omega),$$

where M_λ is the Verma module.

Proof. We first rewrite

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, M)^\lambda \simeq H_n(\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{h}, M \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}),$$

where $\mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}$ is one-dimensional $\mathfrak{b}_- = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h}$-module with trivial action of $\mathfrak{n}_-$. Now our lemma follows from

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathfrak{b}_-}^{\mathfrak{g}} (M \otimes \mathbb{C}_{-\lambda}) \simeq M \otimes M_\lambda^\omega.$$

□

In what follows we study homology $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^*)^{\lambda_2}$ for the triple of weights $\lambda_1 \in P_{k_1}^+$, $\lambda_2 \in P_{k_2}^+$, $\mu \in P_{k_1+k_2}^+$. Note that $L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^*$ is integrable $\mathfrak{g}$ module. Because of Lemma 3.2 we have an isomorphism

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^*)^{\lambda_2} \simeq H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes M_{\lambda_2}^\omega).$$

Proposition 3.2. *There exists a spectral sequence $\bar{E}_{p,q}^r$ with*

$$\bar{E}_{p,q}^1 = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p} H_q(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^*)^{w*\lambda_2},$$

such that $\bar{E}_{p,q}^r$ converges to $H_\bullet(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes L_{\lambda_2}^*)$. In addition $\bar{E}_{p,q}^1 = 0$ for $p > q$.

Proof. We first note that $\bar{E}_{p,q}^1 = 0$ for $p > q$ because of part a) of Proposition 3.1.

Now consider the BGG resolution

$$\ldots \to F_\lambda(2) \to F_\lambda(1) \to F_\lambda(0) \to L_\lambda \to 0,\ F_\lambda(p) = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p} M_{w*\lambda}.$$

Recall that for any $\lambda \in P_k^+$ $(L_\lambda^*)^\omega \simeq L_\lambda$. We thus obtain the dual BGG-resolution

$$\ldots \to F_\lambda^\omega(2) \to F_\lambda^\omega(1) \to F_\lambda^\omega(0) \to L_\lambda^* \to 0,\ F_\lambda^\omega(p) = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p} M_{w*\lambda}^\omega.$$

This gives the following resolution

$$\ldots \to L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes F_{\lambda_2}^\omega(1) \to L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes F_{\lambda_2}^\omega(0) \to L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes L_{\lambda_2}^* \to 0. \tag{20}$$

In order to establish a connection between

$$H_q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^* \otimes L_{\lambda_2}^*) \text{ and } H_q(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1}^*)^{w*\lambda_2}$$

we use a bi-complex $K_{p,q}$ associated with the resolution (20):

$$K_{p,q} = \left[\Lambda^q(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes F^\omega_{\lambda_2}(p)\right]^0$$

(the space of $\mathfrak{h}$-invariants). The first term of the corresponding spectral sequence is given by

$$\bar{E}^1_{p,q} = H_q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes F^\omega_{\lambda_2}(p))$$

and $\bar{E}^r_{p,q}$ converges to $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2})$. From the definition of $F^\omega_{\lambda_2}(p)$ and Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\bar{E}^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p} H_q(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{w*\lambda_2}.$$

Proposition is proved. □

Corollary 3.2. $H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2} \simeq (C^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2})^*$.

Proof. Note that $H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}$ is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\bar\lambda_2 \in P^+_k \\ \bar\lambda_2|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = \lambda'_2}} H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\bar\lambda_2}.$$

Fix some $\bar\lambda_2$ with $\bar\lambda_2|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = \lambda'_2$. Then using the spectral sequence from the Proposition 3.2 we obtain

$$H_0(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\bar\lambda_2} = \bar{E}^1_{0,0}$$

and $\bar{E}^1_{n,0} = 0$ for all $n > 0$. Therefore $\lim_{r\to\infty} \bar{E}^r_{0,0} = \bar{E}^1_{0,0}$. In addition

$$\bar{E}^\infty_{0,0} \simeq H_0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\bar\lambda_2}) \simeq H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L^*_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1} \otimes L_{\bar\lambda_2})^*.$$

Now our Corollary follows from

$$H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L^*_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1} \otimes L_{\bar\lambda_2})^* \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}}(L_\mu, L_{\lambda_1} \otimes L_{\bar\lambda_2})^*$$

and

$$\bigoplus_{\substack{\bar\lambda_2 \in P^+_k \\ \bar\lambda_2|_{\mathfrak{h}'} = \lambda'_2}} H^0(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L^*_\mu \otimes L_{\lambda_1} \otimes L_{\bar\lambda_2})^* \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathfrak{g}'}(L_{\mu'}, L_{\lambda'_1} \otimes L_{\bar\lambda'_2})^*.$$

□

We now study the special case of $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda_2}$ with $\lambda_2 = 0$ and $\mu = \lambda_1$.

Lemma 3.3. $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\mu)^0 = 0$ *for* $n > 0$.

Proof. We consider a filtration $(L^*_\mu)_m$ on L^*_μ:

$$(L^*_\mu)_m = \mathrm{span}\langle e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_s} v^*_\mu,\ s \le m, 1 \le i_l \le n\rangle,$$

where v^*_μ is a lowest weight vector of L^*_μ. This induces a filtration

$$(\left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_\mu\right]^0)_m = \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes L_\mu \otimes (L^*_\mu)_m\right]^0.$$

For the associated spectral sequence one has

$$E^1_{n,m} = \left[H_{n+m}(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu) \otimes (L^*_\mu)_m/(L^*_\mu)_{m-1}\right]^0.$$

Because of $H_{n+m}(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu) \simeq \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=n+m} \mathbb{C}_{w*\mu}$ we obtain

$$E^1_{n,m} = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=n+m} \left[(L^*_\mu)_m/(L^*_\mu)_{m-1}\right]^{-w*\mu}.$$

But

$$(L^*_\mu)^{-w*\mu} \simeq (L^*_\mu)^{-w\mu+\rho-w\rho} \simeq (L^*_\mu)^{-\mu+w^{-1}\rho-\rho} = 0$$

because $w^{-1}\rho - \rho < 0$ for $l(w) > 0$. This gives $E^1_{n,m} = 0$ for $n + m \neq 0$. Lemma is proved. □

In the following Lemma we calculate homology $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\nu)$ for two weights $\mu, \nu \in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mu, \nu \in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$. Then*

$$\dim H_{2n}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\nu) = \delta_{\mu,\nu} \#\{w \in W :\ l(w) = n\}.$$

In addition $H_{2n-1}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\nu) = 0$.

Proof. Because of the isomorphism $(L^*_\nu)^\omega \simeq L_\nu$ the BGG resolution gives the following resolution:

$$\ldots \to \bigoplus_{\substack{w_1, w_2 \in W \\ l(w_1)+l(w_2)=p}} M_{w_1*\mu} \otimes M^\omega_{w_2*\nu} \to \ldots \to M_\mu \otimes M^\omega_\nu \to L_\mu \otimes L^*_\nu \to 0.$$

This resolution is $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h})$-free. Therefore a complex $G_\bullet$ with

$$G_p = \bigoplus_{\substack{w_1, w_2 \in W \\ l(w_1)+l(w_2)=p}} \left[\mathbb{C} \otimes_{U(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})} (M_{w_1*\mu} \otimes M^\omega_{w_2*\nu})\right]^0$$

counts $H_p(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\nu)$. Note that $G_p = \bigoplus_{\substack{l(w_1)+l(w_2)=p \\ w_1*\mu=w_2*\nu}} \mathbb{C}_0$. In view of $\mu, \nu \in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$ the condition $w_1 * \mu = w_2 * \nu$ is equivalent to $w_1 = w_2$, $\nu = \mu$. Therefore $G_{2n-1} = 0$, $n \geq 1$ and $\dim G_{2n} = \delta_{\mu\nu} \#\{w \in W :\ l(w) = n\}$. Lemma is proved. □

Proposition 3.3. *The natural map*

$$\tau : H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes M^\omega_{\lambda_2}) \to H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2})$$

is trivial for $n > 0$.

Proof. Because of an isomorphism

$$H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes M^\omega_{\lambda_2}) \simeq H_n(\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda_2})$$

it is enough to prove that the map

$$\tau' : H_n(\mathfrak{b}_-, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda_2}) \to H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2})$$

is trivial. Note that τ' comes from the natural embedding

$$\imath : \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{b}_-/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^*_{\lambda_2}\right]^0 \hookrightarrow \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2}\right]^0. \tag{21}$$

Therefore it suffices to show that any chain

$$c \in \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{b}_-/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2}\right]^0 \hookrightarrow \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2}\right]^0$$

defines a trivial class in $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2})$.

Because of Lemma 3.4 it is enough to show that any chain from

$$\left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\mu}\right]^0 \hookrightarrow \left[\Lambda^n(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h}) \otimes L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2}\right]^0$$

defines a trivial element in $H_n(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_2})$ for $n > 0$. But from Lemma 3.3 we know that

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_\mu)^0 = 0 \text{ for } n > 0.$$

Proposition is proved. ☐

Theorem 3.1. *For any* $\lambda_1 \in P^+_{k_1}$, $\lambda_2 \in P^+_{k_2}$, $\mu \in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$ *and* $n > 0$

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda_2} = 0.$$

Proof. We use the spectral sequence from Proposition 3.2:

$$\bar{E}^1_{p,q} = \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p} H_q(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{w*\lambda_2} \simeq \bigoplus_{l(w)=p} H_q(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes M^\omega_{w*\lambda_2}).$$

From Corollary 3.2 we obtain $\bar{E}^1_{0,0} \simeq (C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'})^*$ and therefore

$$\bar{E}^1_{k,k} \simeq \bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=k} (C^{\mu'}_{\lambda_1'\lambda_2'})^*. \tag{22}$$

In addition Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 gives

$$\bar{E}^1_{p,n+p} \simeq \bar{E}^1_{0,n},\ \bar{E}^1_{p,q} = 0 \text{ for } p > q. \tag{23}$$

Our goal is to show that $\bar{E}^1_{0,n} = 0$, $n > 0$. (Because of (23) this is equivalent to the proof of $\bar{E}^1_{p,q} = 0$ for $p \neq q$). Note that this agrees with a fact that $\bar{E}^1_{k,k}$ is isomorphic to $H_{2k}(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})$ (see Lemma 3.4 and (22)).

We prove the statement $\bar{E}^1_{0,2n-1} = 0$, $\bar{E}^1_{0,2n} = 0$ by induction on $n \geq 1$. First let $n = 1$. Because of Proposition 3.3 the map

$$\bar{E}^1_{0,2} \to H_2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})$$

is trivial and therefore $\bar{E}^\infty_{0,2} = 0$. This gives $\bar{E}^\infty_{1,1} = \bar{E}^1_{1,1}$, because

$$\bar{E}^1_{1,1} \simeq H_2(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}) \simeq \bar{E}^\infty_{1,1} \oplus \bar{E}^\infty_{2,0}.$$

So a differential $d_1 : \bar{E}^1_{1,1} \to \bar{E}^1_{0,1}$ is trivial and

$$\bar{E}^1_{0,1} = \bar{E}^\infty_{0,1} = H_1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, L_\mu \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1} \otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}) = 0.$$

According to Proposition 3.1 we obtain $\bar{E}^1_{1,2} = 0$, which gives

$$\bar{E}^1_{0,2} = \bar{E}^\infty_{0,2} = 0.$$

Now suppose $\bar{E}^1_{0,s}=0$ for $s\le 2(n-1)$. This gives $\bar{E}^1_{p,s+p}=0$ for $s\le 2(n-1)$, $p\ge 0$. Note that the map

$$\bar{E}^1_{0,2n}\to H_{2n}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})$$

is trivial and so $\bar{E}^\infty_{0,2n}=0$. Recall that the differential d_r acts from $\bar{E}^r_{p,q}$ to $\bar{E}^r_{p-r,q+r-1}$. This gives

$$\bar{E}^r_{p,q}=\bar{E}^1_{p,q} \text{ for } p+q\le 2n.$$

Because of

$$H_{2n}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})\simeq \bar{E}^1_{n,n}$$

we have $\bar{E}^1_{n,n}=\bar{E}^\infty_{n,n}$. So the differential $d_n:\bar{E}^n_{n,n}\to\bar{E}^n_{0,2n-1}$ is trivial. Therefore

$$0=\bar{E}^\infty_{0,2n-1}=\bar{E}^n_{0,2n-1}=\bar{E}^1_{0,2n-1}$$

($\bar{E}^\infty_{0,2n-1}=0$ because by induction assumption we know that $\bar{E}^\infty_{p,q}=0$ for $p+q=2n-1$, $(p,q)\ne(2n-1,0)$). The equality $\bar{E}^1_{0,2n-1}=0$ gives $\bar{E}^1_{1,2n}=0$. Therefore,

$$0=\bar{E}^\infty_{0,2n}=\bar{E}^1_{0,2n}.$$

Theorem is proved. ☐

Corollary 3.3. *For any* $\lambda_1\in P^+_{k_1}$, $\lambda_2\in P^+_{k_2}$, $\mu\in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$ *and* $n>0$

$$H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}=0.$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let* $\lambda_1\in P^+_{k_1}$, $\lambda_2\in P^+_{k_2}$, $\mu\in P^+_{k_1+k_2}$. *Then*

$$c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q^{-1})=\sum_{p\ge 0}(-1)^p\sum_{\substack{w\in W\\ l(w)=p}} q^{(w*\mu)d}\mathrm{ch}_{q^{-1}}(L_{\lambda_1})^{(w*\mu-\lambda_2)'}. \tag{24}$$

Another expressions for branching functions can be obtained by interchanging λ_1 *and* λ_2 *in the above expression.*

Proof. Consider homology $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}$. Because of Corollary 3.2 and Theorem 3.1 we know that

$$\sum_{p\ge 0}(-1)^p\mathrm{ch}_q H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}=c^{\mu'}_{\lambda'_1\lambda'_2}(q^{-1}).$$

Using the BGG-resolution of L_μ we obtain that the following complex counts $H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-,L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}$:

$$\ldots\to D_2\to D_1\to D_0\to 0,\ D_p=\bigoplus_{w:\ l(w)=p}(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(M_{w*\mu}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}))^{\lambda'_2}. \tag{25}$$

We note that

$$(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(M_{w*\mu}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}))^{\lambda'_2}\simeq(\mathbb{C}_{w*\mu}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}$$

and so we have

$$\mathrm{ch}_q(\mathbb{C}\otimes_{\mathrm{U}(\mathfrak{n}_-)}(M_{w*\mu}\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1}))^{\lambda'_2}=q^{(w*\mu)d}\mathrm{ch}_q(L^*_{\lambda_1})^{(-w*\mu+\lambda_2)'}.$$

Therefore the Euler characteristics of the complex (25) is given by

$$\sum_{p\geq 0}(-1)^p \sum_{w:\ l(w)=p} q^{(w*\mu)d}\mathrm{ch}_{q^{-1}}(L_{\lambda_1})^{(w*\mu-\lambda_2)'}.$$

But the Euler characteristics of (25) coincides with the sum

$$\sum_{n\geq 0}(-1)^n \mathrm{ch}_q H_n(\mathfrak{n}_-, L_\mu\otimes L^*_{\lambda_1})^{\lambda'_2}.$$

Theorem is proved. □

4. The $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ case

In this section we specialize formulas (15) and (24) to the case $\mathfrak{g}=\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$.

Let h be the standard generator of the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$. Then $\mathfrak{h}$ is spanned by $h_0 = h\otimes 1$, K and d. Define $(i,k,m)\in\mathfrak{h}^*$ by

$$(i,k,m)h_0 = i,\ (i,k,m)K = k,\ (i,k,m)d = m.$$

Let $(i,k) = (i,k,m)|_{\mathfrak{h}'}$. Note that

$$P'^{+}_k = \{(i,k)\in\mathfrak{h}'^* : i,k\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i\leq k\}.$$

We denote by $L_{i,k}$ $(0\leq i\leq k)$ the highest weight irreducible representation of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}'$ with highest weight (i,k). For fixed levels k_1,k_2 let $c^j_{i_1i_2}(q)$ be the corresponding branching functions defined as characters of $C^j_{i_1i_2}$:

$$L_{i_1,k_2}\otimes L_{i_2,k_2} = \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k_1+k_2} C^j_{i_1i_2}\otimes L_{j,k_1+k_2}.$$

Recall that for any $s>0$ there exist two elements $w_{s,1},w_{s,2}\in W$ with $l(w_{s,i})=s$. In addition

$$\begin{aligned}
w_{2n,1}*(i,k,m) &= (i+2n(k+2),k,m+n(n(k+2)+i+1)),\\
w_{2n,2}*(i,k,m) &= (i-2n(k+2),k,m+n(n(k+2)-i-1)),\\
w_{2n-1,1}*(i,k,m) &= (-i-2+2n(k+2),k,m+n(n(k+2)-i-1)),\\
w_{2n+1,2}*(i,k,m) &= (-i-2-2n(k+2),k,m+n(n(k+2)+i+1)).
\end{aligned}\tag{26}$$

Let V^l be the eigenspace of the operator $h\in\mathfrak{sl}_2$ with an eigenvalue l.

Proposition 4.1.

(27)

$$c^j_{i_1i_2}(q) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{p^2(k_1+2)+p(i_1+1)}\left(\mathrm{ch}_q L^{2p(k_1+2)-j+i_1}_{i_2,k_2} - \mathrm{ch}_q L^{2p(k_1+2)+j+i_1+2}_{i_2,k_2}\right).$$

Proof. Follows from (15) and (26). □

Proposition 4.2.

$$(28)\quad c^{j}_{i_1 i_2}(q) = \sum_{p\in\mathbb{Z}} q^{-(k_1+k_2+2)p^2-(j+1)p}\left(\mathrm{ch}_q L^{2(k_1+k_2+2)p+j-i_2}_{i_1,k_1} - \mathrm{ch}_q L^{2(k_1+k_2+2)p+j+i_2+2}_{i_1,k_1}\right).$$

Proof. Follows from (24) and (26). ☐

Remark 4.1. *Note that formula* (*28*) *coincides with bosonic formula from* [F].

REFERENCES

[BNY] J. Bagger, D. Nemeschansky, S. Yankielowicz, *Virasoro algebras with central charge* $c > 1$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60** (1988), no. 5, 389-392.

[BGG] I.N. Bernstein, I.M. Gel'fand, S.I. Gel'fand, *Differential operators on the base affine space and a study of* $\mathfrak{g}$*-modules*, in: Lie groups and their representations (I.M. Gelfand ed.), Summer school of the Bolyai Janos Math. Soc., Halsted Press, 1975, 21-64.

[DJKMO] E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa, M. Okado, *Exactly solvable SOS models: local heights probabilities and theta function identities*, Nucl. Phys. B **290** (1987), no. 2, 231-273.

E. Date, M. Jimbo, A. Kuniba, T. Miwa, M. Okado, *Exactly solvable SOS models I: proof of star-triangle relation and combinatorial identities*, Adv. Stud. in Pure Math. **16** (1988), 17-122.

[DMS] P. Di Francesco, P. Mathieu and D. Sénéchal, *Conformal field theory*, Springer GTCP, New York, 1997.

[F] E. Feigin, *Infinite fusion products and* $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}_2}$ *cosets*, preprint 2006, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.QA/0603226.

[FOW] O. Foda, M. Okado, O. Warnaar, *A proof of polynomial identities of type* $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}_1 \otimes \widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}_1/\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}_2$, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 965-986.

[FF] B. Feigin, E. Feigin, *Homological realization of restricted Kostka polynomials*, Int. Math. Res. Not. 2005, no. 33, pp. 1997-2029.

[FFJMT] B. Feigin, E. Feigin, M. Jimbo, T. Miwa, Y. Takeyama, *A* $\phi_{1,3}$*-filtration for Virasoro minimal series* $M(p,p')$ *with* $1 < p'/p < 2$, preprint 2006, http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math.QA/0603070.

[GKO] P. Goddard, A. Kent, D. Olive, *Unitary representations of the Virasoro and super-Virasoro algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys., **103** (1986), no.1, 105-119.

[GL] H. Garland, J. Lepowsky, *Lie algebra homology and the Macdonald-Kac formulas*, Invent. Math. **34** (1976), 37-76.

[Kac] V. Kac, *Infinite dimensional Lie algebras*, 3rd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[KMQ] D. Kastor, E. Martinec, Z. Qiu, *Current algebra and conformal decrete series*, Phys. Lett. B**200** (1988), no. 4, 434-440.

[Kum] S. Kumar, *Kac-Moody groups, their flag varieties and representation theory*, Progressin Mathematics, vol. 204, Birkhauser Boston, Massachusetts, 2002.

[R] F. Ravanini, *An infinite class of new conformal field theories with extended algebras*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A**3** (1988), no. 4, 397-412.

[RC] A. Rocha-Caridi, *Vacuum vector representations of the Virasoro algebra*, Vertex Operators in Mathematical Physics (Berkeley, Calif., 1983), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., vol. 3, Springer, New York, 1985, pp. 451-473.

[S1] A. Schilling, *Multinomials and polynomial bosonic forms for the branching functions of the* $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_M \times \widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N/\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_{M+N}$ *conformal coset models*, Nucl. Phys. B**467** (1996), 247-271.

[S2] A. Schilling, *Polynomial fermionic forms for the branching functions of the rational coset conformal field theories* $\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_M \times \widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_N/\widehat{\mathfrak{su}}(2)_{M+N}$, Nucl. Phys. B**459** (1996), 393-436.

[SS] A. Schilling, M. Shimozono, *Fermionic formulas for level-restricted generalized Kostka polynomials and coset branching functions*, Comm. Math. Phys. **220** (2001), no. 1, 105-164.

Evgeny Feigin:
Tamm Theory Division, Lebedev Physics Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences,
Russia, 119991, Moscow, Leninski prospect, 53
AND
Independent University of Moscow,
Russia, Moscow, 119002, Bol'shoi Vlas'evskii, 11
E-mail address: `evgfeig@mccme.ru`